

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,524	07/25/2003	Junichi Hikita	ROH-0031/DIV	1133
7590 08/28/2006 Mr. Steven M. Rabin c/o Rabin & Berdo, P.C. 1101 14th Street, NW Suite 500			EXAMINER	
			ROSE, ROBERT A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	
Washington, D	C 20005		DATE MAILED: 08/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/626,524	HIKITA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Robert Rose	3723			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated the control of t	N. lety filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ju	<u>ıly 2003</u> .				
.—	, -				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 6-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 6-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 16 and 17 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	••			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/25/03. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/626,524

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Receipt is acknowledged of Applicant's Prior Art Statement, filed July 25, 2003.
- 2. Receipt is acknowledged of Applicant's Priority papers, filed July 25, 2003.
- 3. Receipt is acknowledged of Applicant's Preliminary Amendment, filed July 25, 2003.
- 4. Claims 1-5 have been canceled.
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Gale in view of Litvak and further in view of Li et al. Gale discloses a polishing apparatus comprising a vacuum wafer carrier which holds the active face of the grooved wafer while a polishing pad removes material from the backside of the wafer to separate the wafer into individual chips. Litvak discloses an optical monitoring apparatus for cmp endpoint determination in which an electromagnetic wave is delivered through the wafer carrier. To use an optical endpoint monitoring detection means to signal when breakthrough first occurs, would have been obvious in view of Litvak. Li et al discloses a method of cmp endpoint determination comprising providing an overpolish timing following detection of breakthrough of a layer. To provide a control section in the apparatus of Gale to establish a timing for finishing the back surface grinding following detection of breakthrough of the backside would have been obvious in view of Li et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,524

Art Unit: 3723

The use of electromagnetic energy in the microwave range for higher frequency detection would have been an obvious matter of design choice to those of ordinary skill in the art.

- 7. Claims 16-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Gitis et al is cited of interest to show an endpoint detection apparatus for cmp comprising sensors located in both the pad and wafer carrier.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Rose whose telephone number is (571) 272-4494. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, and on alternate Fridays, from 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail, can be reached at (571) 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/626,524

Art Unit: 3723

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert Rose Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723

Rr

August 21, 2006.